

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/633,269	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEEPER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LEE NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2618	

**All Participants:**

(1) LEE NGUYEN.

(2) MICHAEL PROKSCH (43,021).
**Status of Application:** After first office action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 November 2006
**Time:** 12:30 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Typographical error in claim 14 has been corrected with the examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)